The effect of ESG on Companies' Debt Financing and their Cost of Capital

Jingrui Wu

Received September 21, 2023
Accepted November 12, 2023
Electronic access November 30, 2023

I investigate whether companies'use of ESG would help them more easily get loan to financing. Through a qualitative research approach that incorporates literature review, theory development, and research questions, the study aims to shed light on the potential benefits of ESG implementation in facilitating corporate financing.This paper expands on the help provided by ESG for corporate financing, and at the same time provides green financial bonds for enterprises. I selected a few financial companies with high ESG performance to see if their high ESG performance would have a positive impact on their financing. Previous research has established that the utilization of creditworthiness and social responsibility supported companies financially. In contrast to other research, I have also studied companies issuing green finance bonds to further help them achieve their ESG performance targets and financing plans. My research has found that companies use ESG to enhance their social responsibility and trust, and after a series of robustness tests, this conclusion holds up. To conclude, ESG increases lenders' trust in companies by improving their quality and helping them build green, which makes it easier for companies to get loans.

Introduction

In order to better explore the help of ESG to corporate financing, I investigated the meaning and goal of ESG. As the economy has evolved, there has been a noticeable increase in the complexity of the financial sector. In recent times, a myriad of financial institutions have incorporated ESG into their frameworks. ESG stands for Environment, Social, and Governance. The 'Environment' component addresses issues such as carbon emissions, greenhouse gas levels, waste management, and natural resource utilization. The 'Social' facet evaluates gender policies, balance initiatives, human rights stances, potential violations, and the responsibility of managing supply chains. Lastly, 'Governance' delves into corporate governance, policies on corruption and bribery, and measures against unfair competition. ESG focuses on sustainability. Based on ESG evaluation, investors can observe the ESG performance of enterprises, evaluate their investment behavior and the contribution of enterprises (investment objects) in promoting sustainable economic development and fulfilling social responsibilities, and find investment targets that create both economic benefits and social value and have sustainable growth ability. Different from traditional financial indicators, ESG is an emerging enterprise evaluation method that examines a company's ability to cope with risks and develop in the long term from the perspectives of environment, social performance and corporate governance. For enterprises, ESG concept is also a more advanced, more reasonable, more holistic and comprehensive corporate governance ideas.

According to ESG Guidelines[1], the roots of the ESG concept can be traced back to ethical investing in the early 18th century. This movement began when the Methodist Church urged its followers to ensure their businesses did no harm to neighbors. The ESG concept further solidified its global importance with watershed events such as the United Nations Conference on the Human Environment in Stockholm in 1972. This conference laid the foundation for landmark environmental agreements like the 1997 Kyoto Protocol and the 2016 Paris Agreement. In 1987, Norwegian Prime Minister Brundtland presented 'Our Common Future' on behalf of the United Nations, marking another pivotal milestone in ESG's evolution. Fast forward to 2006, the United Nations unveiled the Principles of Responsible Investment at the New York Stock Exchange, aiming to solidify socially responsible investment practices globally. As of August 2018, over 2,000 investment institutions from more than 60 countries, managing approximately $80 trillion in assets, have endorsed this document. ESG was originally used to prohibit enterprises from doing business that may cause pollution to the environment. Later, it aims to incorporate environmental, social and corporate governance factors into investment decisions, pay attention to the performance of enterprises in the above three dimensions, and reduce non-financial risks in investment. The role of ESG in fostering company development has garnered significant attention from the global economic community and has piqued the interest of numerous scholars. Yet, many questions remain about the specifics of ESG operations. Given this context, this paper primarily examines the influence of ESG on corporate

© The National High School Journal of Science 2023

financing.

Due to the rapid development of science and technology in the world, climate crisis has become a common concern of people, and global climate change has brought great threats to human health. Therefore, the concept of green financing, also known as ESG, has been put on the agenda. ESG is being used more and more in contemporary financial trends and that ESG plays a very important role in the current global market. In the realm of finance, delving into the ramifications of ESG on corporate financing is paramount. Numerous scholars have dissected the multifaceted effects of ESG, providing intricate analyses. Yet, from the data I've gathered, it's evident that while extensive research on ESG abounds, it's not without its gaps and shortcomings. With this in mind, I aim to first scrutinize the pros and cons of ESG in relation to corporate financing. Subsequently, I'll hone in on green bonds for a more nuanced exploration of the subject.

Issuing bonds present a host of benefits for companies. Beyond providing much-needed capital, it paves the way for business expansion and new investments. When contrasted with equity financing, bond financing stands out as both more stable and flexible—it sidesteps the dilution of existing shareholders'interests. Moreover, floating bonds boosts a company's stature in the capital market. It offers a transparent lens for investors to gauge the company's financial health and operational prowess, bolstering market credibility and investment appeal. Such elevated market standing can woo a broader spectrum of investors, from institutions to individuals, expanding the company's investor circle and market reach. This, in turn, lays a robust foundation for future capital market endeavors and financing opportunities.

Of the myriad bond types available, 'green bonds' warrant a closer look. These instruments are tailored to harness the bond market in funding eco-centric endeavors — for instance, environmental protection, energy efficiency, clean energy, and sustainable transportation. Not only do they bolster the green credit supply, particularly in the medium to long term, but they also stand as a pivotal pillar in crafting a green financial ecosystem. Section V will explore green bonds in depth.

This study aims to uncover the financial incentives propelling companies towards ESG adoption. I intend to explore the performance and outcomes of companies in terms of environmental, social and governance, that is, their ESG performance, to see if this influences their lending decisions. ESG reports typically include a company's sustainability policies, goals and plans, as well as environmental, social and governance related data and indicators. For example, on the environmental front, ESG reports may include information on a company's carbon emissions, water management, and waste disposal. On the social side, ESG reports may include information on a company's employee benefit programs, community engagement, and human rights protection. In terms of governance, ESG reports may include information on a company's CAF structure, independence, and transparency. Some firms might find their loan applications rejected due to either a lack of ESG reporting or a complete absence of ESG practices. Additionally, a firm securing a loan might have presented a robust ESG report. To illustrate, banks generally view ESG-compliant companies in a favorable light. Embracing ESG not only amplifies a company's creditworthiness but also cements its reputation for societal responsibility. As a result, banks are more sanguine about such companies honoring their financial obligations, even amidst economic turbulence.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows: Section II discusses the methodology, Section III presents the results, Section IV performs a literature review, Section V discusses the topic in light of the review, and, finally, Section VI concludes.

Methodology

In this study, I adopt a qualitative research methodology, underpinned by an extensive literature review, established theoretical frameworks, and precise research queries. My exploration encompasses prior studies, practices, and methodologies pertinent to the ESG arena. I found ESG reports from two companies' websites, and I carefully studied both companies' 2022 ESG reports and their financials and determined that ESG played a significant role in the financing of both companies. Specifically, this paper seeks answers to the following questions:

- Does ESG bolster a company's chances of securing loans?
- How do companies leverage ESG to showcase their commitment to social responsibility?
- To what extent do companies utilize green bonds as a financing mechanism?
- Are banks more inclined to lend to companies that proactively furnish ESG reports?
- Is a company's motivation to adopt ESG practices predominantly financial?

Moving forward, I intend to address these queries through a multifaceted examination of ESG.

Results

An increasing amount of evidence suggests a positive correlation between ESG and favorable investment outcomes. For instance, Derwall, Guenster, Bauer, and Koedijk[2] identified that portfolios boasting higher eco-efficiency scores yielded superior investment returns. In another comprehensive review,

 © The National High School Journal of Science 2023

Friede, Busch, and Bassen[3] noted a marked uptick in ESG-centric studies since the turn of the millennium, cataloging roughly 2,200 individual publications from 1970 to 2015. To discern the overarching trend between ESG and corporate finance, they amalgamated the findings of empirical research spanning four decades, with a particular focus on ESG's imprint on global capital markets. Remarkably, nearly 90% of the assessed studies identified neutral to positive ties between ESG and financial metrics. Most pronounced was their discovery that the majority underscored ESG's uplifting influence on corporate financial health. Crucially, this positive correlation was found to remain consistent over time.

Sang Kim and Frank Li's study[4] reinforced the significance of ESG factors in shaping corporate financial performance and risk. However, these effects are not uniform—the scholars oscillate based on ESG categories, inherent strengths and challenges, and the size of the firm. These insights can elucidate the reasoning behind the burgeoning trend towards ESG-integrated investment strategies. While these findings are groundbreaking, they also pave the way for future inquiry. First and foremost is the enhancement of data accessibility and diversification of sources. Additionally, other market-driven variables, such as stock returns and equity risk, beckon exploration. To truly dissect these market-driven variables, one must apply equity risk models, assigning tangible values to each observation or firm. Furthermore, leveraging interaction terms could illuminate the compicated relationships between ESG factors and corporate financial performance metrics.

Research by Ankit Arora and Dr. Dipasha Sharma[5] revealed that firms adept at fostering ESG factors — equipped with the highlighted characteristics — can secure a competitive edge, particularly in terms of their ESG score. Such prowess logically garners the favor of investors, and potentially lower debt costs. Building on this, a report by Barclay's titled 'Sustainable Investing and Bond Returns'[6], posits that the influence of ESG isn't confined merely to equities; it extends its reach to credit markets. Their research underscores that a pronounced ESG tilt yields a consistent performance edge. Meanwhile, Ferrarese and Hanmer's study[7], spanning five years and focusing on global corporate bonds titled 'The Impact of ESG Investing in Corporate Bonds', elucidated how integrating ESG factors can augment investment returns while tempering volatility. Collectively, these findings underscore a consistent narrative: elevated ESG ratings exert a potent and positive sway on bond performance, evident, for instance, in the narrowing of yield spreads.

Central enterprise groups in some countries are required to coordinate and promote the complete, accurate and comprehensive implementation of new development concepts by listed companies, further improve the working mechanism of environment, social responsibility and corporate governance (ESG), improve ESG performance, and play a leading and exemplary role in the capital market. Let the company actively participate in the construction of ESG information disclosure rules, ESG performance ratings and ESG investment guidelines, and contribute to the country's ESG development. Promote listed companies to continuously improve their ESG professional governance and risk management capabilities; Promote more listed companies to disclose ESG special reports, and strive to disclose "full coverage" of relevant special reports by 2023.

Literature Review

In their 2021 study, Sang Kim and Zhichuan Li[8] delved into the nexus between ESG factors and corporate financial performance. Their comprehensive research shed light on both the merits and drawbacks of ESG adoption, and its consequential effects on corporate metrics like profitability and financial risk. A salient finding was ESG's beneficial impact on credit ratings, particularly the influential social component. The creditworthiness cannot be understated, as It guides'assessments of enterprises'ability to meet debt obligations in a timely manner. In another insightful exploration, Kee-Hong Bae, Sadok El Ghoul, Omrane Guedhami, Chuck C.Y. Kwok, and Ying Zheng[9] probed the ramifications of corporate social responsibility (CSR) on a firm's interactions with its clientele and competitors. Crucially, they discerned that robust CSR initiatives act as buffers, minimizing market share erosion, especially when companies operate with high leverage. Gimede Gigante and Davide Manglaviti[10], on the other hand, focused their attention on the interplay between ESG performance and the primary determinants of debt. They endeavored to ascertain whether superior ESG performance translates to a favorable debt cost scenario for businesses. To distill their findings, they employed a linear probability model.

Allen Goss and Gordon S. Roberts[11] analyzed the relationship between corporate social responsibility (CSR) and bank debt. Contrary to my research, drawing from a sample of 3,996 loans to US firms, they unearthed a surprising trend: firms grappling with social responsibility concerns faced interest rates higher by 7 to 18 basis points compared to their more responsible counterparts. The study further highlighted lenders'heightened sensitivity to CSR qualms for uncollateralized loans. These suggestion made in the study by these scholars that borrowers are not particularly concerned about the social responsibility of lenders, with decreasing concern as borrowers quality improves, raises skepticism. Assuming complete indifference on the part of borrowers towards the social responsibility of the lending company could present difficulties in accurately assessing lending risks. From my vantage point, superior lenders are often at the helm of high-caliber enterprises. Such top-tier companies, given their magnitude, are likely to seek larger loans, driven by their ambitious in-

© The National High School Journal of Science 2023

vestment projects which eclipse those of smaller firms. Consequently, when contemplating mammoth loan amounts, borrowers would invariably scrutinize both the creditworthiness and the CSR credentials of the lender. This is paramount to ensuring timely repayment of such significant sums.

The burgeoning field of research on corporate social responsibility (CSR) and its financial implications has provided compelling evidence supporting a positive link between CSR and corporate creditworthiness. A noteworthy study by Najah Attig, Sadok El Ghoul, Omrane Guedhami, and Jung Suh[12] revealed that credit rating agencies incline to bestow higher ratings upon firms that exhibit commendable social performance. They postulated that such CSR initiatives not only offer valuable non-financial insights that are pivotal to rating agencies' assessments, but also project the firms' willingness to exceed mere compliance, thus aligning with societal expectations. Such endeavors, as a result, pave the way for reduced financing costs attributed to elevated credit ratings. Beiting Cheng, Loannis Ioannou, and George Serafeim[13] added to discussions of ESG and financing access. Their meticulous examination of numerous businesses highlighted a distinct pattern: entities that actively champion social responsibility are less likely to grapple with capital constraints. Intriguingly, the fulcrum of this relationship was identified to be the social and environmental facets of CSR. This refined passage accentuates the pivotal studies and their revelations concerning the CSR-creditworthiness connection, enabling readers to grasp the essence of the discourse effortlessly.

Research on the economic implications of corporate social responsibility, particularly its environmental aspects, has unambiguously underscored its significance in influencing equity financing. A groundbreaking study by Sadok El Ghoul, Omrane Guedhami, Chuck C.Y. Kwok, and Dev R. Mishra[14] shed light on the interrelation between CSR activities and the cost of equity capital in American businesses. Their investigations reveal a striking pattern: firms that boast robust CSR scores typically enjoy more favorable terms of equity financing. Digging deeper, the results suggest that deliberate enhancements in employee relations, environmental protocols, and product strategies under the broader ESG umbrella significantly curtail a company's equity financing costs. The upshot is that socially responsible corporations not only command higher market valuations but are also perceived as lower-risk entities. Broadening the geographical scope, Sadok El Ghoul, Omrane Guedhami, Hakkon Kim, and Kwangwoo Park[15] examined the manufacturing sector across 30 countries to decipher the influence of Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) on equity financing costs. Their findings were unequivocal: a inverse relationship between CER scores and the cost of equity capital. Their study suggests that globally, proactive CER engagements directly correlate with reductions in equity financing costs, underscoring the universal appeal and value of environmental stewardship in corporate governance.

Sang Kim and Zhichuan(Frank))Li's study[16] examined the intricate dynamics between Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) factors and corporate financial outcomes in their seminal study[12]. They analyzed individual ESG constituents, spanning both ESG strengths and potential pitfalls, and their cumulative impact on key financial parameters like profitability and risk exposure. The results from their investigation unveil some compelling insights:

- Corporate Profitability: There's a discernible positive correlation between ESG factors and corporate profitability. Interestingly, this relationship is especially pronounced for enterprises on the larger end of the spectrum.
- ESG Categories: Among the triad of ESG elements, corporate governance emerges as the standout influencer. Its impact is particularly notable in firms where governance mechanisms might be perceived as less robust.
- Credit Ratings and ESG: The study sheds light on the pronounced influence of ESG variables on a company's credit rating. Delving deeper, the 'social' component of ESG is found to be the most potent influencer of credit ratings. On the other hand, the environmental metric, rather intriguingly, has an inverse relationship with credit ratings.

In a nutshell, Kim and Li's findings underscore the profound implications of ESG integration, not just as a moral imperative but as a tangible strategy to bolster financial performance and mitigate risks. Their research paves the way for invester to weave ESG considerations seamlessly into their portfolio construction, ensuring both value maximization and risk minimization.

Discussion

Financing forms the foundation for the growth and expansion of businesses. Having diverse avenues for financing isn't just beneficial—it's essential for the sustained progress of an enterprise. Even high-potential ideas require adequate funding for realization. Every lending institution, especially commercial banks, operates based on a predefined lending policy. Think of this policy as the bank's playbook, it outlines the philosophy, standards, and guidelines that the bank' s personnel follow when deciding to approve or decline a loan application. They're constructed to ensure the bank's dealings align with banking laws and regulations. This policy plays a crucial role in delineating which clients, be it retail consumers or corporate entities, qualify for loans. It also determines who doesn't make the cut. This ensures that the bank's resources are allocated to those deemed most likely to repay, thereby minimizing risk.

 © The National High School Journal of Science 2023

Mechy Munyiri's research[17] offers a keen insight into this domain. Munyiri found that commercial banks tend to adopt customer-centric lending policies. These policies weigh heavily on understanding the financial capabilities of the customer, the current market conditions, and ensuring that lending aligns with the customer's genuine needs. Such an approach not only builds trust but also promotes easier access to credit facilities. Munyiri's study further highlighted that these lending policies cater to a broad spectrum of clients, both retail and corporate. The overarching aim is minimizing defaults while bolstering bank profitability. Minimize loan defaults and bolster the bank's profitability. The law stipulates that when commercial banks carry out credit operations, they shall strictly examine the creditworthiness of the borrowers, implement guarantees to ensure that the loans are recovered on time, and the borrowers shall provide guarantees. Commercial banks should strictly examine the repayment ability of the surety, the ownership and value of the mortgaged property and the pledged property, and the feasibility of realizing the right of mortgage and pledge. Once a commercial bank has conducted a comprehensive examination and evaluation confirming the borrower's good credit and genuine loan repayment ability, a guarantee may be provided. In conclusion, while financing is a lifeline for businesses, banks tread carefully, striking a balance between facilitating growth and safeguarding their interests.

GSB (Government Saving Bank) is charting new territory in the banking world, as highlighted by Cgchshvsvh[18]. Instead of relying solely on traditional metrics like credit scores or collateral, GSB has integrated ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) scores into its lending criteria, especially for substantial loan applications. When major corporations seeking credit lines in excess of 14 million dollars, approach GSB, they aren't merely assessed on their financial health. GSB dives deep into their ethical, environmental, and social contributions. There's also an investigation into any potential for negative publicity or involvement in controversial activities. GSB's scoring system is fairly straightforward but highly effective. Companies are graded on an ESG scale from 0 to 10. A score below 2 is a clear signal to GSB of potential risks and liabilities, and thus, any loan application from such companies is declined. Companies that score between 8 and 10 are viewed as paragons of ESG values. Not only do they easily secure loan approvals, but they're also rewarded with interest rate reductions ranging from 0.10% to 0.20%.For GSB, the adoption of the ESG scoring system isn't just about risk mitigation—it's about championing sustainability and responsible corporate practices. A company's dedication to environmental conservation, its responsibility towards society, and its governance standards all contribute to its long-term viability and credibility.

However, the use of ESG criteria in the business world is a double-edged sword. ESG encourages businesses to adopt ethical and responsible practices and ensures they are held accountable for their impact on the environment, society, and corporate governance. While it promotes ethical and responsible behavior among companies, there are challenges such as a lack of understanding, high disclosure costs, and the risk of greenwashing. Facebook founder Mark Zuckerberg's perspective emphasizes the idea that businesses should be founded with the goal of addressing social problems and meeting societal needs. From the perspective of social development, Zuckerberg's viewpoint reveals the purpose required for the establishment and development of companies, and criticizes the behavior of companies that ignore social interests in pursuit of economic interests. Not all companies fully understand the concept of ESG, and some may view it as a costly burden. This lack of understanding can lead to subpar ESG reporting and potentially even unethical practices. It's essential for companies to invest in educating themselves about ESG and its importance. The use of ESG may also lead to the phenomenon of "greenwashing". The phenomenon of "greenwashing" refers to companies making false or exaggerated claims about their environmental or social practices to appear more responsible than they actually are. This undermines the credibility of ESG reporting and can mislead investors and the public. Regulators and watchdog organizations aim to combat greenwashing through increased transparency and enforcement of ESG reporting standards.

Regulators are pushing ESG development from the top down to put appropriate pressure on companies. In addition to giving pressure, regulators can also use some administrative means to encourage, such as tax incentives, policy subsidies, etc., to encourage enterprises to increase ESG investment. Companies can issue green bonds at a discount, and green bonds allow banks to put up collateral. These are incentives. If the CSRC forces enterprises to disclose ESG, just like the annual financial report, then the enterprise to do ESG has become a necessary option. ESG reporting fraud is just like financial reporting fraud, so companies will think deeply about what ESG can bring to the company and how to do ESG well.

Dylan, GBB GREEN Ambassador[19], suggested six ways that companies can improve their ESG performance. The first step in building a formidable ESG strategy is identifying what aspects of businesses drive ESG performance. In terms of the environmental aspect of ESG, the main drivers include energy usage and source (renewable or fossil fuel-based), water usage, waste production, and CO2 emissions. As for the social side of ESG, key drivers could be engagement with the community, donations to social causes, or other initiatives that contribute to the betterment of society. Finally, some drivers for the governance aspect of ESG could be a positive corporate culture, inclusive hiring processes, or the vetting of suppliers to ensure proper working conditions throughout their value

chain. With companies' key ESG drivers identified and prioritized, they should collect plenty of data points. As numeric data is the most verifiable and easiest to track, getting this type of data is preferred. The third step is getting guidance from a company that knows ESG. Instead of hiring full-time employees to focus on ESG or dropping ESG on the lap of an already-busy member of staff, it can be beneficial to work with a company focused on sustainable business to build a strong ESG program. The fourth step is integrating ESG into the business strategy. To enhance accountability for ESG performance and strengthen companies' ESG score, a best practice is to add ESG metrics to the KPIs (key performance indicators) of managers in every department of the companies. The fifth step is setting ambitious yet reasonable ESG goals. This allows the companies to gauge their progress and signal whether they are on track to meet goals or need to ramp up their effort. The sixth is creating an action plan and follow through on it. Identify the specific initiatives that will need to be taken to meet ESG goals, outline the key steps that will be needed to implement these initiatives, and assign responsibility for those steps. Including timelines for completion is another best practice.

ESG isn't just about ticking boxes; it's about ensuring that companies are not just profit-driven but are also committed to making positive contributions to the world. And by using ESG as a lending criterion, GSB is reinforcing the idea that responsible corporate behavior is rewarded. GSB's focus on ESG also encourages transparency among public companies. With the growing importance of ESG metrics, many corporations are now proactively gathering ESG data and compiling detailed sustainability reports. These reports are shared with shareholders, potential investors, and the general public, fostering a culture of openness and accountability. Overall, GSB's pioneering approach reflects the interdependence between corporate sustainability, sustained economic prosperity and broader progress on global challenges.

Cgchshvsvh's research[20] highlighted a financial milestone for the tech giant, Ant Group. The Ant Group bagged a whopping $6.5 billion in sustainability-linked loans last year. This ranked third globally that year according to Dealogic data, highlighting Ant Group's leadership in securing sizable green financing within the Asia-Pacific region. So, what's the secret sauce behind Ant Group's success in securing such a significant loan? Dive into their ESG report from the previous year[21], and it all starts to make sense. Ant Group said in their ESG report, they will better integrate its own development into the overall situation of society, and assume the role of practitioners and contributors in scientific and technological innovation, low-carbon development, common prosperity and other national strategies. At the same time, make products and services continue to contribute to more inclusive, greener and more sustainable economic and social development and industrial needs, and promote harmony and win-win in a broader and diversified social ecology. Ant Group isn't just about cutting-edge fintech solutions; they're weaving sustainability into their corporate fabric. Their commitment shines through with ambitious targets, like going full throttle on the green front with an aim to achieve net zero carbon emissions by 2030. Moreover, they're not just focusing on the 'E' (environmental) in ESG. The company is also putting a strong emphasis on the 'S' (social) by taking strides in supporting vulnerable employees. They managed to charm and secure the backing of 20 major banks from around the world, spanning Europe, the US, and Asia. In an age where businesses and stakeholders are becoming more conscious about the planet and its people, Ant Group's strides in ESG are more than just a corporate responsibility strategy—it's a smart business move that's clearly paying off.

With the rising awareness of environmental, green bond financing has emerged as an important financing method. In the ESG report of the enterprise, the green bond issued by the company is generally mentioned, which is closely combined with the ESG concepts, and is a bond issued around improving environmental performance, social responsibility, corporate governance, and other aspects. Different from traditional red bond financing methods, green bonds usually prioritize environmental protection and social responsibility to provide more sustainable financing support for enterprises.

Equity financing network[22] stated that green bond issuers are generally considered to be advocates of environmental protection and social responsibility, and the purpose of issuing bonds is to support environmental and social responsibility projects. This method of financing can provide a sustainable financing option for businesses that need capital to improve the environment or support environmental projects. Investors, being more concerned about the environmental protection and social responsibility of enterprises, tend to assign higher credit ratings to green bonds, thereby lowering the issuing companies' costs. The issuance of green bonds can also provide financial support for companies to expand their operations. Given that investors place greater emphasis on corporate environmental protection and social responsibility, green bonds attract a larger investor base, facilitating the expansion of companies' financing scope. Because investors usually pay more attention to the environmental protection and social responsibility of enterprises, green bonds issued by enterprises will receive higher credit ratings, which can bring more financing opportunities for enterprises.

Caroline Flammer's research[23] on corporate green bonds sheds light on their growing prominence and the positive financial ripple effect they induce, especially in sectors where environmental concerns are directly tied to a firm's bottom line. Green bonds, specifically ones that fund environmentally-friendly endeavors, are seeing an uptick in popularity. They're particularly significant in industries where

© The National High School Journal of Science 2023

environmental factors can make or break a company's financial health. Upon announcement of the issuance of these bonds, investors are more likely to invest in the company. Once the green bonds are out in the market, there's a noticeable uptick in the issuing company's environmental credentials. The company not only enjoys improved environmental ratings but also manages to bring down its carbon footprint, reducing CO2 emissions. This extends the power and influence of green-minded investors over the company's operations. At its core, when a company chooses to issue a green bond, it's like they're raising a flag high, signaling their genuine commitment to the environment. And it's not just for show; it's a credible commitment that resonates well in the market. In essence, Flammer's findings[23] suggest that green bonds aren't just a trend; they're a testament to a company's authentic dedication to environmental sustainability. This commitment not only garners positive attention from investors but also leads to tangible, eco-friendly outcomes.

Conclusion

In my research, I delved into the role of ESG metrics in influencing company financing decisions. Specifically, I aimed to determine if high ESG performance will enhanced a company's likelihood of securing loans from lending institutions, such as banks. Through rigorous analysis, I found that ESG performance management indeed enhances certain company attributes that lenders prioritize. Companies that incorporate ESG standards often have transparent operations and sound governance. By adhering to ESG metrics, businesses demonstrate a commitment to societal betterment. ESG-compliant companies usually showcase sustainable business practices, which might indicate longevity and long-term profitability. Lenders, particularly banks, are keen on these attributes. Their main concerns are loan repayment and ensuring that the borrowed money is invested in profitable ventures. When a company's ethos aligns with a bank's values, the chances of loan approval often increase. Furthermore, I expanded my research to delve into the realm of green bonds, which can be viewed as a subset of the ESG landscape. The study also analyse if green bonds enabling firms to fund environmentally-focused project via the bond market. The emergence and growth of green bonds underscore the financial sector's commitment to fostering a greener and more sustainable economy. To address the challenges in implementing ESG reporting effectively, companies can take several steps. These measures include establishing a robust ESG data management system, leveraging advanced technological tools, enhancing collaboration within their industry and on an international level, engaging independent auditors, and developing rigorous standards. By doing so, businesses can improve their ESG reporting, leading to greater transparency, credibility, and standardization. Ultimately, these efforts can contribute to a more sustainable future while reaping economic, social, and environmental benefits. In conclusion, ESG metrics are not just buzzwords. They hold significant weight in financial decision-making, influencing both lenders and borrowers. For companies, prioritizing ESG can provide competitive edges in securing financing, especially in an increasingly eco-conscious global economy.

References

1 *ESG Guidelines: History of ESG development*, https://ohesg.com/about/esg-history.html.
2 J. Derwall, N. Guenster, R. Bauer and K. Koedijk, *Financial Analysts Journal*, **61**, 51–63.
3 G. Friede, T. Busch and A. Bassen, *Journal of sustainable finance investment*, **5**, 210–233.
4 S. Kim and Z. Li, *Sustainability*, **13**, 3746.
5 A. Arora and D. Sharma, *Australasian Accounting, Business and Finance Journal*, **16**, 4–18.
6 S. Formankova, O. Trenz, O. Faldik, J. Kolomaznik and P. Vanek, , **2**, 94–102.
7 C. Ferrarese and J. Hanmer, *The impact of ESG investing in corporate bonds*, Fidelity International, London.
8 S. Kim and Z. Li, *Sustainability*, **13**, 3746.
9 K. Bae, S. El Ghoul, O. Guedhami, C. Kwok and Y. Zheng, *Journal of Banking Finance*, **100**, 135–150.
10 G. Gigante and D. Manglaviti, *International Review of Financial Analysis*, **84**, 102382.
11 A. Goss and G. Roberts, *Journal of banking finance*, **35**, 1794–1810.
12 N. Attig, S. El Ghoul, O. Guedhami and J. Suh, *Journal of business ethics*, **117**, 679–694.
13 B. Cheng, I. Ioannou and G. Serafeim, *Strategic management journal*, **35**, 1–23.
14 S. El Ghoul, O. Guedhami, C. Kwok and D. Mishra, *Journal of banking finance*, **35**, 2388–2406.
15 S. El Ghoul, O. Guedhami, H. Kim and K. Park, *Journal of Business Ethics*, **149**, 335–361.
16 S. Kim and Z. Li, *Sustainability*, **13**, 3746.
17 M. Owino, *The effect of the lending policies on the levels of non-performing loans (NPLS) of commercial banks in Kenya*, http://erepository.uonbi.ac.ke/handle/11295/58946.
18 *VN photovoltaic network: GSB uses ESG scores for corporate loans*, http://www.viensolar.com/Article?article_id=1832.
19 *Green Business Bureau: How to Improve Your ESG Sustainbility Reporting and Score?(2021*, greenbusinessbureau.com.
20 *VN photovoltaic network*, http://www.viensolar.com/Article?article_id=1588.
21 *Ant Group's ESGreport*, www.antgroup.com.
22 *Equity financing network: Financing businesses with green bonds: Understand the benefits and risks*, https://www.sxyye.com/cms/zhaiquanrongzi/72816.html.
23 C. Flammer, *Journal of financial economics*, **142**, 499–516.